	5	4	3	2	1	Ave	Mode
Overall	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	4.4	4.0
	Extremely	Very	Somewhat	Not So	Not at All		
Content	Helpful	Helpful	Helpful	Helpful	Helpful	4.4	5.0
Likely to Attend			Somewhat	Not So	Not at All		
Again	Extremely Likely	Very Likely	Likely	Likely	Likely	3.5	4.0
			About Right				
Length	Far too Long	Too Long		Too Short	Far too Short	2.9	2.0
		Better	About what	Worse	A Lot Worse		
	A Lot Better	Than	I expected	Than	Than		
Meet Expectations	Than Expected	Expected		Expected	Expected	3.7	3.0
Rate the Value of		ı					
Money and Time							
Spent	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	4.0	4.0

Surveys Sent

33

Responded 9 Rate 27%

## 7. What suggestions do you have for improving the MRL WG Industry Workshop?

- A little more time in workshop and less time with speakers and introductions. Add a training opportunity within it.
- Make it 1 & a half days instead of 1 day.
- More time to work through the team taskings and prepare responses
- We might need more time for subgroups during the event
- It might be helpful to identify what the break-out groups will be prior to the meeting and allow choices to be made. This would enable better preparation as well as assuring that there is a minimum participation in each group.
- An extra half-day or so would be great. Some valuable topics came up in the breakout sessions that we didn't have time explore ahead of preparing our outbrief.
- Something like an "Industry User's Forum" would be helpful. Maybe an open mic to discuss what works well with MRLs in industry, what problems are encountered, and how they are resolved (or not) internally. Or several presenters with 2-3 slides each. I think it would generate some great discussion and help to make the MRL construct more robust.
- Lots of work left to do after workshop suggested that we should have had a 2 or 3 day workshop instead

## 8. What was the most beneficial portion of the MRL WG Industry Workshop?

- The review of the MRL 1-4 criteria
- Input from the many experts in the room
- Broad engagement across the manufacturing community to hear discussion and participate in exchange with industry, government, etc
- Around the room updates; subgroup assignments
- OSD & Working Group updates, learning about industry experience, networking. I like that the breakout groups had a tangible output (outbrief)
- Introducing commercial airplane perspective in the sept 27th working group. Having ground work laid out for subject matter, such as EHS

- **9.** What was the least beneficial portion of the MRL WG Industry Workshop? Lengthy introductions and speakers in the morning.
  - All areas/topics in the last session were very helpful.
  - Coming from the west, this meeting adds up to almost two days of travel for one day of meetings. An
    extra half day or so of content/value would make the travel easier to justify. Not enough Commercial
    representation used for industrial decisions

## 10. Is there anything else you'd like to share about the event?

- It would be good to know or have after the workshop a list of the participants with their specific relationship to MRLs, if not roles within their Companies that make them either a POC or SME on MRLs.
- 2-day event
- Really valuable meeting for the reasons above. Would be good to have more representation from industry, but the room would be too small. Keep up the great work!
- Overall great job! Suggestion: In an upcoming event the Boeing team (Jason Schmidt & Mike Ganowsky) should present on their latest Readiness Level enhancements. (Fall on 2017)